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## Instructions:

1. Answer any three questions.
2. Candidates are advised not to write on the question paper.
3. Candidates must hand in their answer booklets to the invigilator while in the examination room.

## QUESTION ONE (20 MARKS)

a) The data below shows the haemoglobin levels (in $g /$ decilitre) for patients with three sickle cell disease.

| Sickle cell <br> type | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Hbss | 7.2 | 7.7 | 8.1 | 8.3 | 8.5 | 8.6 | 8.7 | 9.1 | 9.1 | 9.8 | 10.3 |
| Hbs/B-that | 8.1 | 9.2 | 10 | 10.4 | 10.4 | 10.9 | 11.1 | 11.9 | 12 | 12.1 |  |
| Hbsc | 10.7 | 11.3 | 11.5 | 11.6 | 11.7 | 11.8 | 12 | 12.3 | 13.3 | 138 |  |

(i) What is the response variable and what is the factor variable
(2 marks)
(ii) Obtain the fitted values and the residuals of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i} \sum_{j} e_{i j}=0 \tag{2marks}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iii) Obtain the ANOVA table for these data and use it to test at $5 \%$ whether there is significance difference in mean haemoglobin levels across the groups. (4 marks)
(b) Using an appropriate two sample t-test, evaluate whether haemoglobin levels for patients with Hbs and Hbsc are significantly difficult.
(2 marks)
(c) suppose that we are interested in the factors that influence whether a political candidate wins an election. The outcome (response) variable is binary (1/0) for win /lose. The predictor variables of interest are the amount of money spent on the campaign $\left(x_{1}\right)$, the amount of time spent campaigning negatively $\left(x_{2}\right)$ and whether or not the candidate is incumbent $\left(x_{3}\right)$. The regression coefficients are provided below;

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
x_{1} & =0.8040 \\
x_{2} & =-0.6754 \\
x_{3} & =0.0226 \\
\text { Null deviance } & =499.98 \\
\text { Residual deviance } & =358,52
\end{array}
$$

i) Write the logistic regression formula and interpret the regression coefficients. (2 marks)
ii) Obtain the odds ratio for the regression coefficients showing clearly your working formula.
(2 marks)
iii) Interpret the odds ratio. Also, compare performance of incumbent and non-incumbent using the odds ratio.
iv) Obtain pseudo-R squared and use it to evaluate the model goodness-of-fit interpreting accordingly.
d) A In a filariasis survey, the number of people with and without filariasis infestation in the two sex groups were as follows:

| filariasis infestation | Male | Female | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Yes | 28 | 20 | 48 |
| No | 237 | 222 | 459 |
| Total | 265 | 242 | 507 |

Test whether the prevalence of filariasis has statistical association with the sex using an appropriate test at $95 \%$ confidence level.
(2 marks)

## QUESTION TWO (20 Marks)

A company studied the effect of 3 different types of promotions on sales of its craters. Fifteen $(\mathrm{n}=15)$. Stores were selected at random for the study with 5 stores assigned to each promotional type. Data on the number of cases of product sold a previous promotional period (x) and on the current period (y) gives below.

| Promotional <br> type | 1 |  | 2 |  | 3 |  | 4 |  | 5 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i} 1}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i} 1}$ | $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i} 2}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i} 2}$ | $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i} 3}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i} 3}$ | $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i} 4}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i} 4}$ | $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i} 5}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i} 5}$ |
| 1 | 38 | 21 | 39 | 26 | 26 | 22 | 45 | 28 | 33 | 19 |
| 2 | 43 | 34 | 38 | 26 | 38 | 29 | 27 | 18 | 34 | 25 |
| 3 | 24 | 23 | 32 | 39 | 31 | 30 | 21 | 16 | 28 | 29 |

Fit an ANACOVA model to these data and assess whether there was promotional type effect on sales after adjusting for previous sales (use $\alpha=0.05$ )

## QUESTION THREE (20 Marks)

a) Suppose a logistic regression model for the association between smoking and death is presented as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log \left(\frac{p}{1-p}\right)= & -7.5869+0.5522(\text { CURSMOKE } 1)+0.1181(\text { AGE } 1)+0.7759(\text { MALE }) \\
& +0.6386(\text { HIGHBP } 1)+1.5834(\text { DIABETES } 1)
\end{aligned}
$$

i. Using the above model, what is the odds ratio of death for a 50 year old man who does not smoke, has high blood pressure and does not have diabetes (i.e. AGE1=50, CURSMOKE1 $=0$, MALE $=1$, HIGHBP1 $=0$, and DIABETES $=0$ )?
ii. Does the answer to the previous question change if different values are set for AG1, MALE, HIGHBP1, and DIABETES?
iii. What is the model's estimate for the odds ratio of death for a diabetic (DIABETS1=1) compared to a non-diabetic (DIABETES1=0), controlling for MALE, HIGHBP1 and CURSMOKER1?
iv. What is the model's estimate for the odds ratio of death for a smoker (CURSMOKER1=1) compared to a non-smoker (CURSMOKER1=0), controlling for MALE, HIGHBP1 and DIABETES?
(3 marks)
b) The following model contain the same risk factors listed in the previous model except that it does not include age
i. What is this model's estimate for the odds ratio of death for a smoker (CURSMOKER1=1) compared to a non-smoker (CURSMOKER1=0), controlling for MALE, HIGHBP1, and DIABETES1
ii. Based on these two models, what conclusion can you reach about AGE1 being a confounder, when estimating the effect of smoking on the odds of dying, once you control for MALE, HIGHBP1, and DIABETES?
(3 marks)
iii. Interpret the model intercepts when the other factors are held constant?

## QUESTION FOUR (20 Marks)

The data below shows the number of cases of bread sold by a Bakery which wished to assess the effect of height of shelf display (factor $A$ ) and the width of shelf display (factor B) on the sales.

|  | Factor B (Display Width) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Factor A (Height) | Regular | wide |
|  | Bottom | 47 |
| 43 | 40 |  |
|  |  | 40 |
|  | Middle | 62 |

i) Obtain the fitted values
ii) Construct the ANOVA table
iii) Are there interactions effects
iv) Are there any main effects
(use $\alpha=0.05$ )

## QUESTION FIVE (20 Marks)

The following tables show the code and sex-specific results from a prospective short study that examines the association between a binary exposure(E) and the development of a disease (D) during 20 years of follow-up.
a)

Full Data

|  | $\mathrm{D}+$ | $\mathrm{D}-$ | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{E}+$ | 1123 | 8877 | 10000 |
| $\mathrm{E}-$ | 1008 | 8992 | 10000 |
| Total | 2131 | 17869 | 20000 |

Sex-specific data
Males

|  | $\mathrm{D}+$ | $\mathrm{D}-$ | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{E}+$ | 259 | 1741 | 2000 |
| $\mathrm{E}-$ | 648 | 5352 | 6000 |
| Total | 907 | 7093 | 8000 |

Females

|  | $\mathrm{D}+$ | $\mathrm{D}-$ | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{E}+$ | 864 | 7136 | 8000 |
| $\mathrm{E}-$ | 360 | 3640 | 4000 |
| Total | 1224 | 10776 | 12000 |

a) What is the value for the Crude Risk ratio, comparing exposed subjects to non-exposed subjects? (3 marks)
b) Using the Mentel-Haenszel formula, what is the value for the sex-adjusted Risk ratio, comparing exposed subjects to non-exposed subjects? (10marks)
c) Using the total data as standard population, what is the value for the standardized Risk ratio? (3 marks)
d) Using the risk ratio as a measure of association, is sex an effect modifies in this study? (4 marks)

